

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,394	ROBINSON, KEITH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paresh Patel	2829	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Paresh Patel.

(3) _____

(2) Tim R. Seeley.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 06/06/07

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17-31

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pat. 6696848

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite the process of prosecution and in view of possible allowance of claims 33-45, the Examiner called Tim R. Seeley to cancel withdrawn claims 17-23 because they do not include allowable subject matter. The Examiner also suggested that another withdrawn independent claim 24, which includes allowable subject matter, would be allowed if Mr. Seeley files Terminal Disclaimer because of issue of double patenting (with claim 3 of US Pat. 6696848). In response, Tim R. Seeley requested to cancel claims 17-31. Examiner's amendment cancels claims 17-31. .